

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VALERIE MARTEL, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

DALE L. CAIN, SR.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:14-cv-00636-RCJ-NJK

ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
DISCOVERY PLAN

(Docket No. 10)

This matter is before the Court on the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Docket No. 10. The Local Rules provide a presumptively reasonable discovery period of 180 days measured from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared in the case. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d), Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). In this case, the parties request a discovery period of 284 days. *See* Docket No. 10 at 3.¹ The discovery plan seeks an extended discovery period by asserting in general terms that the parties agree that it is necessary in this case. *See id.* at 2. That is not sufficient reason to deviate from the presumptively reasonable 180-day discovery period. Accordingly, the discovery plan

¹ The discovery plan incorrectly states that the parties seek a discovery period of 240 days, but that assertion is based on calculating the discovery cut-off 240 days from the date the discovery plan was filed. *See* Docket No. 10 at 3 (calculating discovery cut-off at 240 days from July 14, 2014). The Local Rules make plain that the discovery period is calculated from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. *See* Local Rules 26-1(d), Local Rule 26-1(e)(1).

1 is hereby DENIED. No later than July 17, 2014, the parties shall submit an amended discovery plan
2 based on the presumptively reasonably 180-day discovery period.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: July 15, 2014

5
6 
7 _____
8 NANCY J. KOPPE
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28